	Case 2:23-cv-01321-WBS-SCR Docume	ent 27 Filed 11/08/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KOHEN DIALLO E. UHURU,	No. 2:23-cv-01321-WBS-SCR P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	DANIEL E. CUEVA, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se. By order filed July 22, 2024, the court	
18	revoked plaintiff's in forma pauperis status and ordered plaintiff to pay the \$405.00 filing fee	
19	within 14 days. Plaintiff sought and was granted a 90-day extension of time for that purpose. The	
20	time granted has expired and plaintiff has not paid the filing fee. Plaintiff has, however, filed two	
21	motions which the court construes as motions seeking to consolidate this case with <u>Uhuru v. J</u>	
22	Benavidez, Case No. 2:22-cv-00784-TLN-DMC and Uhuru v. Cuevas, No. 2:23-cv-01380-TLN-	
23	DB. Since this case should be dismissed for plaintiff's failure to pay the filing fee, there would be	
24	no benefit to the requested consolidation of cases. Moreover, Case No. 2:23-cv-01380-TLN-DB	
25	is a closed case and findings and recommendations to dismiss are pending in Case No. 2:22-cv-	
26	00784-TLN-DMC. Because plaintiff has failed to pay the filing fee as ordered by the court, this	
27	action should be dismissed without prejudice.	
28	////	
		1

Case 2:23-cv-01321-WBS-SCR Document 27 Filed 11/08/24 Page 2 of 2

2. Plaintiff's motions to consolidate (ECF Nos. 25, 26) be denied as moot.

For the reasons set forth above, it is RECOMMENDED as follows: 1. This action be dismissed for plaintiff's failure to pay the filing fee.

3. The Clerk of the Court be directed to close this case.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 21 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.

Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: November 7, 2024

SEAN C. RIORDAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE